

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/587,831	VITZTHUM, FRANK	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Robert T. Crow	1634	

All Participants:

(1) Robert T. Crow.

(2) James P. Kastenmayer.

Date of Interview: 17 February 2010

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Status of Application: Rejected

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: 10:45 am

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
None

Claims discussed:
18

Prior art documents discussed:
None

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
The examiner contacted Applicant's Representative to discuss the election of a single SEQ ID NO or a single combination of SEQ ID NOS. Applicant's Representative Elected the combination of SEQ ID NOS 1-7. The examiner said that if any individual sequences were allowable, the examiner would call back and the specific sequences would be discussed.

The examiner contacted Mr. Kastenmayer again on 23 February 2010 to indicated that Figures 15-16 are still objected to because a new set of drawings in compliance with MPEP 608.02(t) is required to cancel Figures 15-16. The examiner said all remaining objections to the specification are withdrawn. The examiner also indicated that SEQ ID NOS 2-3 and 6-7 were free of the prior art. It was agreed that the Requirement to Elect the combination of SEQ ID NOS 1-7 would be withdrawn, and a final action would be mailed so that the new rejections necessitated by the amendments could be reviews and so that new Drawings can be submitted. The examiner also requested a telephone call if an after final amendment is submitted, so that the case can be reviewed in a timely manner.

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Robert T. Crow/
 Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1634

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)